

# Introduction to Structural Econometrics

## Lesson 2: Dynamic Programming and Recursive Models

Guillaume Willems

Aix-Marseille School of Economics - PhD course

Sept 2017

# Table of contents

- 1 General questions
- 2 Some theory about dynamic programming
  - The Bellman equation
  - Continuous choice: an example
  - Discrete choice: an example
- 3 Application: Rust (1987)

# Plan

- 1 General questions
- 2 Some theory about dynamic programming
  - The Bellman equation
  - Continuous choice: an example
  - Discrete choice: an example
- 3 Application: Rust (1987)

# Schedule

- October 3rd, room 23, 10-12am  
*What is Structural Econometrics?*
- October 10th, room 23, 10-12am  
*Dynamic Programming and Recursive Models*
- October 24th, room 23, 10-12am  
*Monte-Carlo Methods*
- November 7th, room 23, 10-12am  
*Simulation-based Estimation*
- November 14th, room 15, 10-12am  
*State-Space Representation and Latent Variables*
- November 21st, room 16, 10-12am  
*Numerical tools*

# Evaluation

- Write only a R file
- It must contain
  - ① one or several functions `model(...)` that generate a dataset given a list of parameters `theta`
  - ② a graphical part, to illustrate the issue
  - ③ a bad way to estimate the parameters if possible (like a regression with an endogenous variable)
  - ④ a better (good?) way to estimate the parameters
- all the functions must be commented
- about the subject: find something related to your research interests, send me an email if you need help
- Deadline before or after Christmas vacations

# Plan

- 1 General questions
- 2 Some theory about dynamic programming
  - The Bellman equation
  - Continuous choice: an example
  - Discrete choice: an example
- 3 Application: Rust (1987)

# Dynamic programming

- ① We consider recursive theoretical models that are applied in structural econometrics.
- ② For the theory, a good textbook is Ljungqvist and Sargent's Recursive Macroeconomic Theory.
- ③ By recursive models, I mean models that are solved by the agents through backward induction.
  - ▶ but also by the econometrician!

# A general framework

- Time is discrete and the agent has VNM preferences. She lives from  $t = 1$  to  $t = T$  (possibly  $T = +\infty$ ).
- Expected utility at time  $t$  writes

$$U(s_t) = \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} \mathbb{E}_{s_\tau}^* [u^*(s_\tau) | s_t]. \quad (1)$$

- $s_t$  is the vector of *state variables* (or predetermined or backward-looking). Note that  $s_0$  is given.
- The problem becomes interesting when there are choices involved. The star superscript denotes the operators under optimal decisions (e.g. indirect utility)



# Optimal decision

- The agent can influence the state by making state-contingent decisions  $d_\tau(s_\tau)$  at time  $\tau$ . Denote  $\mathbf{d}_t = \{d_\tau\}_{\tau=t,\dots,T}$ . The individual program is the following:

$$U(s_t) = \max_{\mathbf{d}_t \in \mathbf{C}_t} \sum_{\tau=t}^T \beta^{\tau-t} \mathbb{E}_{s_\tau} \left[ u(s_\tau, d_\tau(s_\tau)) \mid s_t, \mathbf{d}_t \right]. \quad (2)$$

- $\mathbf{d}_t$  is a set of *control variables* (or jump or forward-looking).
  - ▶ affect utilities
  - ▶ affects the transition probabilities between states
- $\mathbb{E}_{s_\tau}$  is an expectation operator over probabilities  $p(s_\tau | s_{t-1}, \mathbf{d}_t)$ .

# The Bellman equation

- A convenient writing of the program is the Bellman equation formulation:

$$U(s_t) = \max_{d_t \in \mathcal{C}_t} \{u(s_t, d_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{s_{t+1}} [U(s_{t+1}) | s_t, d_t]\} \quad \text{for } t < T, \quad (3)$$

- with a termination condition if  $T < \infty$ ,  
 $U(s_T) = \max_{d_T \in \mathcal{C}_T} u(s_T, d_T)$
- The way to characterize the program depends on the set  $\mathcal{C}_t$ , continuous or discrete.
- Contemplate the recursive structure

# Example with savings

- a worker earn a stcoachstic income  $y_t$  and choose to consume and save:

$$U_t(a_t, y_t) = \max_{\{c_t | a_{t+1} = (1+r)(a_t + y_t - c_t)\}} \{u(c_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{y_{t+1}} U_{t+1}(a_{t+1}, y_{t+1})\} \quad (4)$$

- with the termination condition,  $U(s_T) = \max_{d_T \in C_T} u(s_T, d_T)$

# Solution

- Without limited liability constraints, the problem is solved by substituting  $c_t$  and writing two conditions:
  - ▶ the first-order condition in  $a_{t+1}$
  - ▶ the envelop theorem in  $a_{t+1}$ :

$$-\frac{u'(c_t)}{1+r} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{y_{t+1}} \frac{\partial U_{t+1}}{\partial a_{t+1}}(a_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial a_t}(a_t, y_t) = u'(c_t). \quad (6)$$

- We search  $c_t^*(a_t, y_t)$

## Solution (II)

- We obtain the Euler equation,

$$\frac{u'(c_t^*(a_t, y_t))}{1+r} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{y_{t+1}} u' \left[ c_{t+1}^* \left( (1+r)(a_t + y_t - c_t^*(a_t, y_t)), y_{t+1} \right) \right]$$

- We can recover  $c_t$  from  $c_{t+1}$  starting from the termination condition  $c_T^*(a_T, y_T) = a_T + y_T$ .
- For  $c_{T-1}^*(a, y)$ ,

$$u'(c_{T-1}^*) = (1+r)\beta \mathbb{E}_{y_T} u' \left( (1+r)(a + y - c_{T-1}^*) + y_T \right)$$

- ▶ we have to solve this equation for each pair  $(a, y)$
  - ▶ In addition, there is an integral to compute if  $y_t$  is continuously distributed.
  - ▶ This is just for computing  $c_{T-1}^*$ .
- The take-away message is that it can be hard to solve a dynamic continuous choice model, even in this simple case.

# Example with labor participation

- A risk-neutral individual choose to enter the labor market.
- Her utility from working is  $w_t$  (deterministic), while her utility from remaining out of the labor force is  $h$ .

$$U_t(0) = h + \max \{ -c + \beta [\lambda U_{t+1}(1) + (1 - \lambda) U_{t+1}(0)], \beta U_{t+1}(0) \} \quad (7)$$

$$U_t(1) = w_t + \beta \max \{ U_{t+1}(1), U_{t+1}(0) \} . \quad (8)$$

- The termination conditions are  $U_T(0) = h$  and  $U_T(1) = w_T$ .

# Solution

- The agent chooses a participation decisions  $d_t^*(0)$  and  $d_t^*(1)$  for  $t < T$ .
- From the termination condition,  $d_{T-1}(1) = \mathbf{1}_{h < w_T}$  and  $d_{T-1}(0) = \mathbf{1}_{c < \beta\lambda(w_T - h)}$ .
- For any  $t < T$ , the worker takes the decision by comparing the values,

$$d_t(0) = \mathbf{1}_{c < \beta\lambda(u_{t+1}(1) - u_{t+1}(0))}, \quad (9)$$

$$d_t(1) = \mathbf{1}_{u_{t+1}(1) > u_{t+1}(0)}. \quad (10)$$

- $d_t$  is simple to obtain given  $U_{t+1}$

# Numerical solution

- To compute  $d_0(0)$  for instance, the computer has to make  $2^{T-1}$  comparisons.
- If you consider 40 periods as different years on the labor market, the number is higher than  $10^{12}$ .
- On my computer with the Julia software (faster than R presumably), it takes me 5min!
- This is just for a binary choice and two states.
- Remember that we are just evaluating the function for a given set of parameters. In order to estimate, we need to evaluate it several times.



# Plan

- 1 General questions
- 2 Some theory about dynamic programming
  - The Bellman equation
  - Continuous choice: an example
  - Discrete choice: an example
- 3 Application: Rust (1987)

# The subject

- Harold Zuchner is an engine repairer in a bus company,
- 10 years of observations for different bus
- Contribution
  - ① methodological
  - ② replacement investment in "bottom-up" framework, estimate a demand function for engine replacement

# What do we observe

- Each bus is an individual.
- For each bus, we observe the monthly mileage  $x_t$  and the engine replacement decision  $i_t$ . An observation consists of a sequence of  $(x_t, i_t)$ . Here is an example

$$(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \text{ for the } \{i_t\} \quad (11)$$

$$(106, 252, \dots, 2553, 0, 130, 276, \dots) \text{ for the } \{x_t\} \quad (12)$$

# A model

- $x_t$  is a state variable. The utility function regarding maintenance is defined by

$$u(x_t, i_t, \theta_1) = \begin{cases} -c(x_t, \theta_1) & \text{if } i_t = 0 \\ -RC - c(0, \theta_1) & \text{if } i_t = 1 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

- $x_t$  is typically auto-correlated, we suppose the pdf to be

$$p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2) \quad (14)$$

## A model (II)

- The Bellman equation:

$$V_{\theta}(x_t) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(x_t, 0, \theta_1) + \beta \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t = 0, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} \\ u(x_t, 1, \theta_1) + \beta \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t = 1, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} \end{array} \right\} \quad (15)$$

- Define  $EV_{\theta}(x_t, i_t) = \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1}$  as the expected value. We have

$$EV_{\theta}(x_t, i_t) = \int \max_{i'} \{ u(x_{t+1}, i', \theta_1) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_{t+1}, i') \} p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} \quad (16)$$

- To solve numerically the problem, a strategy is to find  $EV$  solution to a fixed point problem
- Contemplate the difficulty

# A deterministic model

- Denote  $i_t = f(x_t, \theta)$  the optimal maintenance decision.
  - ▶ Under some assumptions,  $i_t$  is a 0-1 policy depending on a threshold mileage  $\underline{x}$ .
  - ▶ We cannot estimate such a deterministic model
- $i_t = f(x_t, \theta) + \epsilon_t$ , is not a good option
  - ▶ loose internal consistency, what is the interpretation?
  - ▶ At best, it could be a measurement error, but it does not make sense regarding the way data were collected.
- Consider that the stochastic terms  $\epsilon_t$  are observed by Harold Zuchner but not by us. Random utility framework.

# A stochastic model

- $V$  writes as

$$V_{\theta}(x_t, \epsilon_t) = \max \begin{cases} u(x_t, 0, \theta_1) + \epsilon_t(0) + \beta \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} | x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t = 0, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} d\epsilon_t \\ u(x_t, 1, \theta_1) + \epsilon_t(1) + \beta \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} | x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t = 1, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} d\epsilon_t \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

- Define  $EV_{\theta}(x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t) = \int V_{\theta}(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1}) p(x_{t+1} | x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1}$  as the expected value. We have

$$EV_{\theta}(x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t) = \int \max_{i'} \{ u(x_{t+1}, i', \theta_1) + \epsilon_t(i) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1}, i') \} p(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} | x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} d\epsilon_t \quad (18)$$

# Assumption 1

Suppose  $p(x_{t+1}, \epsilon_{t+1} | x_t, \epsilon_t, i_t, \theta_2) = q(\epsilon_t) p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2)$ .

$$EV_{\theta}(x_t, i_t) = \int \max_{i'} \{u(x_{t+1}, i', \theta_1) + \epsilon_t(i) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_{t+1}, i')\} p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1} q(\epsilon_{t+1}) d\epsilon_{t+1}. \quad (19)$$



## Assumption 2

$\epsilon_t$  follows a centered extreme-value distribution, then

$$EV_{\theta}(x_t, i_t) = \int \log \left\{ \sum_{i'} \exp(u(x_{t+1}, i', \theta_1) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_{t+1}, i')) \right\} p(x_{t+1} | x_t, i_t, \theta_2) dx_{t+1}. \quad (20)$$

and

$$P(i | x_t, \theta) = \frac{\exp(u(x_t, i, \theta_1) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_t, i))}{\sum_{i'} \exp(u(x_t, i', \theta_1) + \beta EV_{\theta}(x_t, i'))}. \quad (21)$$

# Likelihood

- The stochastic model can be estimated by maximum likelihood. The likelihood of a sequence of  $(x_t, i_t)$  is

$$p(x_1|i = 1, \theta_2)P(i_1|x_1, \theta) \prod_{t>1} p(x_t|x_{t-1}, i_{t-1}, \theta_2)P(i_t|x_t, \theta) \quad (22)$$

- Note we can split the likelihood function in two terms:
  - ▶ one with the  $p$ ,  $p(x_1|i = 1, \theta_2) \prod_{t>1} p(x_t|x_{t-1}, i_{t-1}, \theta_2)$  We will use this property to estimate the model.
  - ▶ the other with the  $P$ ,  $\prod_{t>0} P(i_t|x_t, \theta)$ .